

Parkview Health

Parkview Health Research Repository

Health Services and Informatics Research

Mirro Center for Research and Innovation

11-2020

Improving Social Determinants of Health Screening Implementation Through Collaboration: Leveraging a Clinical-Academic Partnership.

Bradley E. Iott MPH, MS

Jessica Pater PhD, MS
Parkview Research Center, jessica.pater@parkview.com

Shauna Wagner BSN, RN
Parkview Health, shauna.wagner@parkview.com

Tammy Toscos PhD
Mirro Center for Research and Innovation, tammy.toscos@parkview.com

Tiffany Veinot PhD, MLS

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.parkviewhealth.org/informatics>



Part of the [Behavioral Medicine Commons](#), and the [Health Information Technology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Iott, Bradley E. MPH, MS; Pater, Jessica PhD, MS; Wagner, Shauna BSN, RN; Toscos, Tammy PhD; and Veinot, Tiffany PhD, MLS, "Improving Social Determinants of Health Screening Implementation Through Collaboration: Leveraging a Clinical-Academic Partnership." (2020). *Health Services and Informatics Research*. 29.

<https://researchrepository.parkviewhealth.org/informatics/29>

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Mirro Center for Research and Innovation at Parkview Health Research Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Health Services and Informatics Research by an authorized administrator of Parkview Health Research Repository. For more information, please contact julie.hughbanks@parkview.com.


Improving Social Determinants of Health Screening Implementation Through Collaboration: Leveraging a Clinical-Academic Partnership

Bradley Iott, MPH, MS¹, Jessica Pater, PhD, MS², Shauna Wagner, BSN, RN², Mindy Flanagan, PhD², Victor Cornet, MS², Tammy Toscos, PhD, MS², Tiffany Veinot, PhD, MLS¹
¹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; ²Parkview Research Center, Fort Wayne, IN

Problem Addressed

- There are growing efforts to capture information about patients' social determinants of health (SDOH) in healthcare and provide services targeted at health-related social risk factors.
- SDOH data create opportunities for healthcare providers to make referrals to social service agencies to address individual patients' needs and to tailor treatment plans to individuals' specific needs, working towards the goal of achieving better health outcomes for disadvantaged patients.
- No standard screening tools exist, and screening policies tailored to the needs of specific patient populations may be necessary.
- There is limited evidence on how SDOH data collection is currently carried out both formally and informally across clinical settings, prompting research questions such as:
 - What types of screening are offered?
 - Which SDOH data are of highest priority to collect?
 - What barriers to screening exist?
 - How frequently are patients screened for SDOH?
 - Which SDOH are most frequently disclosed?

Figure 1: Types of SDOH



Methods

• Parkview Health (PH) is a non-profit health system featuring 9 hospitals in Indiana and Ohio. To identify existing formal and informal SDOH screening tools and practices at PH, we conducted interviews with 36 PH providers, staff, and administrators. We conducted a thematic analysis of interview transcripts. We also analyzed electronic health record (EHR) data from Population Health Department, which addresses patients' social needs.

Results

Age	Mean=44, range=33-61
Gender	30 female, 6 male
Example roles	RN, social worker, MD, educator, practice manager, administrator
Clinical practice duration	Mean=16 years

- SDOH screening at PH primarily takes 2 forms:
 - Clinical assessments, which varied both in level of structure and in scope of narrow to broad ranges of social needs
 - Narrow: handful of questions routinely asked by providers
 - Broad: comprehensive assessment using SDOH screening tool
 - Patient self-report using surveys
- SDOH data were generally thought to be helpful, and providers found data about transportation, access to medication, social support, access to enough food, and financial strain to be most useful.

Time burden for providers & patients
Limited accessibility of collected data in some clinics and for some roles
Variability in usefulness of data

Frequency of SDOH Screening and Positive Screenings				
	Visit-level		Patient-level	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Screening occurred	13185/8466	15.6	7784/16782	46.4
Positive screen	6029/13185	45.7	4516/7784	58.0
Frequency of Disclosures of Each Type of SDOH				
Financial Insecurity	3593/11175	32.2	2824/6858	41.2
Transportation	1517/10714	14.2	1429/7256	19.7
Social Support	910/10473	8.7	824/7270	11.3
Food Insecurity	376/6471	5.8	318/4257	7.5
Health Literacy	127/3214	4.0	127/2638	4.8
Housing/Utilities	291/10330	2.8	244/7099	3.4
Domestic Violence	98/4894	2.0	85/2833	3.0

Conclusion

• Evaluating existing SDOH screening in a variety of clinical settings, made possible by our partnership, allows us to learn how screening impacts patients and providers and identify opportunities to inform a shared definition of SDOH, improve SDOH data quality, and evaluate the impact of screening standardization.