Parkview Health Parkview Health Research Repository

Parkview Heart Institute

Parkview Research Center

7-2019

A Comparison of the Accuracy of WATCHMAN Device Sizing Between CT, TEE and Patient Specific 3D Models

Luke Matthew Landrigan MD

John Lozo Parkview Health, John.Lozo@parkview.com

T. Eric White MD Parkview Health, thomas.white@parkview.com

Emily Keltner BS, MA Parkview Health, emily.keltner@parkview.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.parkviewhealth.org/cardiol

Part of the Cardiology Commons

Recommended Citation

Landrigan, Luke Matthew MD; Lozo, John; White, T. Eric MD; and Keltner, Emily BS, MA, "A Comparison of the Accuracy of WATCHMAN Device Sizing Between CT, TEE and Patient Specific 3D Models" (2019). *Parkview Heart Institute*. 17.

https://researchrepository.parkviewhealth.org/cardiol/17

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Parkview Research Center at Parkview Health Research Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parkview Heart Institute by an authorized administrator of Parkview Health Research Repository. For more information, please contact julie.hughbanks@parkview.com.

A Comparison of the Accuracy of WATCHMAN Device Sizing between CT, **TEE, and Patient Specific 3D Models**



Luke Landrigan¹, BS • John Lozo², BS • T. Eric White³, MD • Emily Keltner⁴, BS, MA ¹Indiana University School of Medicine, ²Parkview Mirro Center for Research and Innovation, ³Parkview Physicians Group – Cardiology, ⁴Parkview Heart Institute

Background

- Atrial fibrillation (AF) present in 1-2% of general population¹
- Risk of stroke in patients with AF increased by a factor of five²
- Approximately 90% of thromboembolisms in patients with non-valvular AF are formed in the left atrial appendage (LAA)³
- **Current first line treatment for stroke risk reduction** is oral anticoagulation pharmacotherapy⁴
- Many patients are contraindicated for anticoagulant therapy for a variety of reasons⁴
- Alternative intervention is occlusion of the LAA with the WATCHMAN device. The WATCHMAN device has been shown to be non-inferior to anticoagulants in stroke risk reduction¹
- Device sizing is difficult due to variability of LAA anatomy. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is standard, but presents limitations and challenges
- **Computerized Tomography (CT) and CT-based 3D** models may offer more accurate depiction of LAA
- More accurate sizing will potentially reduce material use, procedure time, radiation, and indirectly, risk of intraoperative complications

Materials & Methods

- 32 patients selected from Parkview Physicians Group Cardiology that underwent the WATCHMAN procedure
- **TEE measurements of LAA maximum orifice diameter** collected from LAAO Registry supplied by Parkview Heart Institute
- **CT Scans evaluated retrospectively to measure LAA** maximum orifice diameter using Philips Intellispace Portal v9.0 (Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA)
- Use 3D CT imaging to segment patient specific LAA and print with Form 2 3D printer
- Measure LAA maximum orifice diameter of 3D models
- Used paired T-tests to compare measurements taken with each method
- **Compare predicted device sizes in each group with** actual device size implanted

Imaging and Models



Results

Table 1: Patient Procedural			
Characteristics (n=32)			
Age (mean yrs ± sd)	72.88 ± 7.08		
Body Mass (mean kg ± sd)	96.92 ± 26.46		
Male	17		
Female	15		
HTN	30		
Diabetes Mellitus	14		
Vascular Disease	14		
Stroke	12		
Heart Failure	9		
Thromboembolism History	4		
TIA	2		

LAA using 3D models, CT images, and TEE

	Mean difference (mm)	p-value	
3D model – TEE	3.4 ± 3.1	< 0.00001	
CT – TEE	4.2 ± 3.5	< 0.00001	
3D model – CT	-1.0 ± 2.3	0.02551	
(mean difference \pm sd) Red values indicate statistical significance at α = 0.05			

	Mean difference (in number of device sizes)	p-value	
3D Models	0.6 ± 0.8	0.00011	
СТ	0.7 ± 0.9	0.00007	
TEE	-0.6 ± 0.8	0.0002	
(number of device sizes \pm sd) Red values indicate statistical significance at $\alpha = 0.05$			

Objective: Determine the accuracy and reliability of CT imaging and CT-based patient specific 3D models of the left atrial appendage for the preprocedural planning of WATCHMAN device implantation in comparison to TEE

Figure 1: Imaging and modeling used for measuring the LAA (from left to right: TEE, CT, 3D models)

Table 2: Indications for Procedure		
History of major bleed	25	
High fall risk	11	
Increased thromboembolic stroke risk	12	
Patient preference	30	
Non-compliance with anticoagulation therapy = 5	5	
> 2 indications for WATCHMAN	32	
> 3 indications for WATCHMAN	17	



Figure 2: WATCHMAN device

Table 4: Difference between size recommendation and size
 deployed in number of device sizes (i.e. difference between a 27mm device and a 24mm device is 1 device size)



Figure 4: Procedures that would have been appropriately avoided or inappropriately recommended



Inappropriate procedures recommended

Discussion

TEE underestimates the maximum LAA orifice diameter when compared to CT and 3D models

PARKVIEW

HEART INSTITUTE

- TEE device sizing appears to be the least accurate of the three methods
- Device sizing from CT and 3D models, on average, is larger than device size deployed
- Suggests that a larger device could have been deployed to cover more of the LAA
- Preprocedural planning based entirely on TEE inappropriately recommended the WATCHMAN procedure for four patients with inadequate LAAs
- 3D models would have helped physicians avoid the two procedures in which the device was not deployable

Conclusions

- CT imaging and CT-based 3D models for preprocedural assessment of the LAA and planning of the WATCHMAN procedure appear not only to be accurate methods for correct device sizing, but more accurate than the traditionally used TEE
- The use of CT imaging and 3D models helps prevent unnecessary procedures in patients with inadequate LAAs

References

- Gloekler S, Meier B, Windecker S. Left atrial appendage closure for prevention of cardioembolic events. Swiss Med Wkly 2016. doi:10.4414/smw.2016.14298.
- Oladiran O, Nwosu I. Stroke risk stratification in atrial fibrillation: a review of common risk factors. J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect 2019;9(2):113–20. doi:10.1080/20009666.2019.1593781
- Majule DN, Jing C, Rutahoile WM, Shonyela FS. The efficacy and safety of the WATCHMAN device in LAA occlusion in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation contraindicated to oral anticoagulation: a focused review. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;24:271–8. doi:10.5761/atcs.ra.18-00014.
- Holmes DR, Kar S, Price MJ, Whisenant B, Sievert H, Doshi SK, et al. Prospective randomized evaluation of the Watchman left atrial appendage closure device in patients with atrial fibrillation versus long-term warfarin therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64(1):1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.04.029

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported in part by Parkview Health and the Mirro Center for Research, Parkview Research located in Fort Wayne, Indiana. We would also like to acknowledge the Student Education and Research Fellowship Program (SERF), the Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute (CTSI), and the Parkview Physician's Group for their assistance and support in completing this project. In addition, we would like to thank the Dr. Louis and Anne B. Schneider Foundation and IMPRS for project funding.

Table 3: Mean differences between measurements of each