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In December 2020, our infection prevention (IP) department noted an increase in Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates over baseline in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU). Our hospital sees a steady influx of parents colonized with MRSA and our weekly screening process regularly discovers neonates that subsequently become colonized with MRSA. A doubling of the number of cases per month led to deeper investigation. Epidemiologic data were collected on the neonates and matched to their mother’s screening cultures (when obtained). Sensitivity patterns were matched, and staff noted that a single sensitivity pattern predominated.

Methodology

After numerous interventions had been attempted without successful change in the epidemic curve, IP and NICU leadership concluded the next step would be screening staff for MRSA/MSSA carriage by PCR. No staff were known to be ill, infected, or colonized with MRSA per Employee Health Services (EHS). Critical decisions made by the steering committee included that staff would be notified of testing based on epidemiologic data that had been extensively obtained and that our charting/tracking system would miss some HCW/patient interactions because staff “helped out” or happen to be walking by a room and answer a call light. We included providers, nursing, physical therapists, respiratory therapy, sitters, and volunteers in our screening. Staff were notified by email to present to EHS on-site when they were free to get screened. The cost of screening was borne by the IP department. Results were called or emailed back to the employee/volunteer. NICU leadership did not get the results to maintain coworker confidentiality. Only EHS and IP had access to the name and result. Those found to be positive for MRSA were counseled by Infection Diseases (Dr. Stienecker) and offered the decolonization regimen of either 10 days of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or moxifloxacin. Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) soap was used daily. CHG mouthwash to use twice daily and a tube of mupirocin ointment to be used in the nose twice daily for 5 days and with bowel movements around the rectum for 2-4 weeks. Positive HCWs were kept off work for 48 hours of initial therapy and then allowed to resume full duties. The time off was not counted as an occasional occurrence or pulled from personal time off (PTO). The HCW stopped by the pharmacy where they received the decolonization regimen at the hospital’s expense (which was approximately $125.)

Discussion

Data about community colonization rates are difficult to discern because NICU babies may originate outside of the general community that utilizes the hospital containing the Level 3 or Level 4 NICU. Data about community colonization rates are difficult to discern because NICU babies may originate outside of the general community that utilizes the hospital containing the Level 3 or Level 4 NICU, but available surrogate markers include the hospital antibiogram and screening of mothers and fathers of NICU babies. We have not had consistent screening of mothers or fathers for MRSA carriage but have trended our hospital antibiogram with a unique Staphylococcus aureus culture (excluding screening) rate of 38.46% MRSA. Compared to other hospital antibiograms of academic hospitals, a more typical rate is around 32% (personal communication/unpublished data). In examining the reasons for a suspected higher colonization rate of the general population, it was noted that our provider culture does not use a decolonization regimen along with treatment and may provide an explanation as to why our community rate is higher. Published rates of MRSA community colonization are around 4.7% while Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) is about 25%. In a study from Israel, the prevalence of MSSA in pregnant women at the time of delivery was 6% and maternal-to-neonate transmission rate was 27.8%. Given the extensive epidemiologic investigation, a source from outside of the HCW pool was extremely unlikely. Given the time and space, only a human source fits the data. Much effort had been spent on interventions including Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) testing, handwashing stations, and Ultraviolet (UV) light boxes for staff and devices. There were opportunities for patient care policies, and equipment that were optimized during this period of scrutiny. The CDC Recommendations for Prevention and Control of Infections in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Patients: Staphylococcus aureus was closely scrutinized.

Results

A total of six rings of workers were identified and sequentially tested. Testing consisted of a MRSA/MSSA (Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus/Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus) culture and a WGS (Whole Genome Sequencing) performed by culture if MRSA positive. Of 175 employees tested, five were found to be positive. One of the staff matched the WGS epidemic strain. Three of the staff were not part of the cluster by whole genome sequencing (WGS). One employee's isolate failed to grow. 4 of the staff refused to test. All MSSA colonized employees were decolonized. Incidentally, 30 of the staff were noted to be colonized with MSSA but none were associated with any clustering. The NICU has been free of the epidemic strain for the past six months. 4 employees refused to be tested.

Conclusion

Only 2.9% of the HCW/volunteers that were epidemiologically associated with the colonized babies were found to be positive, dispelling the notion that colonization is prevalent on a point-prevalence study. None of the babies found to be colonized developed an active infection. Although we clearly had one large cluster that was epidemiologically linked, we also had other small clusters of 1-3 cases of MRSA unrelated to the outbreak cluster that clearly demonstrates that the unit is constantly under threat from patient/investors colonized with MRSA and pose a risk for another outbreak. Of the 5 HCWs found to be positive: 3 did not match the epidemic strain (or the micro-clusters), 1 did not grow and 1 was a match. All were decolonized and the epidemic stopped, but ongoing active surveillance is needed to prevent another outbreak from occurring. We could not establish whether the HCW found to have MRSA was the index source or simply became colonized by an infant that had become colonized by a family member/volunteer.

References


Disclosure statement: Dr. Stienecker, MD, FIDSA, FSHEA, CIC is a consultant for Medline Industries.