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2021 Report

43%

Compared to last year’s report we have a 43% increase in downloads. 3662 downloads in 2021.

48%

Our content has increased by 48% since last year. We have 346 works in our collection.

Our Top 5 Downloaded Publications in 2021

- 395 downloads: College students in distress: Can social media be a source of social support?
- 189 downloads: Daily technoference, technology use during couple leisure time, and relationship quality.
- 104 downloads: "Not just girls": Exploring Male-related Eating Disordered Content across Social Media Platforms.

Our Readership in 2021 - 326 Institutions

54%
Commercial

35%
Education

5%
Organization

3%
Government

99 Countries have downloaded our content

48% come from the United States

2021 Parkview Research Repository
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Introduction

This report is a compilation of data regarding readership and metrics of content in the Parkview Research Repository for the year of 2021. The platform, Digital Commons, is provided by Bepress and the institutional repository is managed by the Parkview Research Library. This institutional repository (IR) was started in 2017 and is accessible worldwide.

Park Research Repository: https://researchrepository.parkviewhealth.org

Downloaded Content Metrics and Stats for 2021

- 3,862 Downloads
- 2,785 Metadata Page Hits
- 102 Works posted in 2021
- 346 Works in IR total

Downloaded Content Metric and Stats YTD- (2017-2021) *See Appendix for more information

Usage Metrics

- 31735 Abstract Views
- 6983 Download Count
- 2676 Full Text Views
- 7280 Link Outs

Citations

- 1284 Cited by Count
- 9 Clinical Cited by Count
- 8 Policy Cited by Count

Captures

- 3921 Export Saves
- 3139 Reader Count

Mentions

- 2 Comment Count
- 6 News Count

Social Media

- 803 Facebook Count
- 19 Like Count
- 187 Tweet Count

2021 Readership Worldwide

*Map showing reader count by country.*
326 Institutions have downloaded our content in 2021

![Institution Type Pie Chart]

**Top 20 Institutions (those that have downloaded the most content)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Downloads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parkview Hospital</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC Theatres</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celestica International Inc.</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DirectWebH CORP</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Jones &amp; Company Inc.</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Komar Ltd.</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou Sunhongs Corp. Ltd.</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit Network Inc.</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Science Center Foundation Inc.</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana University</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Central Oklahoma</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California Davis</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business-Systems Ltd</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich University of Applied Sciences</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Downloads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symantec Corporation</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Beaumont Hospital</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Wisconsin - Eau Claire</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Incubator Inc.</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

99 Countries have accessed our IR and downloaded content.

Top 10 Countries in 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Downloads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are users finding or being referred to our IR and content?

#1 Google is our top referrer of content
#2 Google Scholar
#3 The Parkview Repository itself
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Downloads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College students in distress: Can social media be a source of social support?</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technoference: Parent mobile device use and implications for children and parent-child relationships.</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User-Centered Design of a Mobile App to Support Peer Recovery in a Clinical Setting</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily technoference, technology use during couple leisure time, and relationship quality</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Notjustgirls&quot;: Exploring Male-related Eating Disordered Content across Social Media Platforms</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness of Multimodal Pain Therapy on Reducing Opioid Use in Surgical Geriatric Hip Fracture Patients.</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ Feelings about School Reopening during COVID-19: Survey Results from Northeast Indiana</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of Artificial Intelligence in Screening and Diagnosis of Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge among patients with heart failure: A narrative synthesis of qualitative research</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 March Parkview Signature Care Thought Leader Forum</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Report was Compiled by Sarah Ellsworth, MLS, Parkview Medical Librarian in December 2021. Please contact her if you have additional questions or would like to discuss possibilities.
Usage Metrics

Article level usage metrics are the number one statistic that researchers want to know after their citation counts. Is anyone reading our work? Did anyone watch our videos? PlumX is unique in combining artifact-level Usage data with other artifact-level metrics. Below is a listing of the current Usage metrics that PlumX supports, and the providers of the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract Views</td>
<td>Airiti iRead eBooks, Airiti Library, CABI, Digital Commons, DSpace, EBSCO (historical only), ePrints, Expert Gallery Suite, RePEc, SciELO, SSRN</td>
<td>The number of times the abstract of an artifact has been viewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clicks</td>
<td>bit.ly</td>
<td>The number of clicks of a URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborators</td>
<td>GitHub</td>
<td>The number of collaborators of an artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloads</td>
<td>Airiti iRead eBooks, Airiti Library, Digital Commons, Dryad, DSpace, EBSCO (historical only), ePrints, Expert Gallery Suite, figshare, Github, Institutional Repositories, Mendeley Data, Pure (for select customers only), RePEc, Slideshare, SSRN</td>
<td>The number of times an artifact has been downloaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Text Views</td>
<td>Airiti iRead eBooks, CABI, EBSCO (historical only), OJS Journals, PLOS, PubMedCentral (for PLOS articles only), SciELO</td>
<td>The number of times the full text of an article has been viewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdings</td>
<td>WorldCat</td>
<td>The number of libraries that hold the book artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link Outs</td>
<td>EBSCO (historical only)</td>
<td>The number of times an outbound link has been clicked to a library catalog or link resolver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plays</td>
<td>Digital Commons, Vimeo, YouTube, SoundCloud</td>
<td>The number of times the video or audio has been played</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Citation Metrics

Citation counts in PlumX are measures of how many times your research has been cited by others. Including citation counts alongside the other modern metrics categories allows for side-by-side analysis.

The following are the sources of citation counts that are currently in PlumX.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>Airiti Academic Citation Index</td>
<td>The number of Airiti ACI works that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>Chinese Science Citation Database</td>
<td>The number of Chinese Citation Database (CSCD) works that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>CrossRef</td>
<td>The number of articles that cite the artifact according to CrossRef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>PubMed Central</td>
<td>The number of PubMed Central articles that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>PubMed Central Europe</td>
<td>The number of PubMed Central Europe articles that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>RePEc</td>
<td>The number of RePEc works that cite the artifact as computed by CiTEc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>SciELO</td>
<td>The number of SciELO articles that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>The number of articles that cite the artifact according to Scopus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Indexes</td>
<td>SSRN</td>
<td>The number of SSRN works that cite the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent Citations</td>
<td>USPTO</td>
<td>The number of patents that reference the artifact according to the United States Patent and Trademark Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent Family Citations</td>
<td>EPO, IPO, JPO, USPTO, WIPO</td>
<td>The number of patent families that reference the artifact according to the European Patent Office (EPO), World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Intellectual Property Office of the United Kingdom (IPO), United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and Japan Patent Office (JPO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Citations</td>
<td>Dynamed Plus Topics (historical only)</td>
<td>The number of Dynamed Plus Topics that reference the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Citations</td>
<td>PubMed Clinical Guidelines</td>
<td>The number of Clinical Guidelines from PubMed that reference the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Citations</td>
<td>National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) – UK</td>
<td>The number of Clinical Guidelines from NICE that reference the artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Citations</td>
<td>Policy document source lists curated by PlumX</td>
<td>The number of policy documents that reference an artifact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Capture Metrics**

Captures track when end users bookmark, favorite, become a reader, become a watcher, etc. Captures indicate that someone wants to come back to the work. Captures are important because they are an early, leading indicator of future citations.

Below is a table of the metrics sources that PlumX uses for capture metrics.

*Metrics as of November 11, 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bookmarks</td>
<td>Delicious (historical only)</td>
<td>Number of times an artifact has been bookmarked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorites</td>
<td>Slideshare, SoundCloud, YouTube</td>
<td>The number of times the artifact has been marked as a favorite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followers</td>
<td>GitHub</td>
<td>The number of times a person or artifact has been followed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forks</td>
<td>Github</td>
<td>The number of times a repository has been forked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readers</td>
<td>CiteULike (historical only), Goodreads, Mendeley, SSRN</td>
<td>The number of people who have added the artifact to their library/briefcase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exports/Saves</td>
<td>EBSCO (historical only), SSRN</td>
<td>This includes the number of times an artifact’s citation has been exported direct to bibliographic management tools or as file downloads, and the number of times an artifact’s citation/abstract and HTML full text (if available) have been saved, emailed or printed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscribers</td>
<td>Vimeo, YouTube</td>
<td>The number of people who have subscribed for an update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watchers</td>
<td>Github</td>
<td>The number of people watching the artifact for updates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Social Media Metrics**

Social media metrics are the +1s, likes, shares, and tweets about research.

By tracking social media metrics, you can see how well a researcher is promoting their work. This is especially important for early career researchers to measure and understand who is interacting with their work. Of course, social media also allows us to track the buzz and attention surrounding research.

The following table lists the sources that PlumX tracks for Social Media.

*Metrics as of October 15, 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likes</td>
<td>Vimeo, YouTube</td>
<td>The number of times an artifact has been liked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares, Likes &amp; Comments</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>The number of times a link was shared, liked or commented on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratings</td>
<td>Amazon, Goodreads, SourceForge</td>
<td>The average user rating of the artifact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>Figshare, SourceForge</td>
<td>The number of recommendations an artifact has received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scores</td>
<td>Reddit</td>
<td>The number of upvotes minus downvotes on Reddit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweets</td>
<td>Twitter via Gnip</td>
<td>The number of tweets and retweets that mention the artifact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>